

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The drawings are objected to by the draftsman because the size of the paper and the margins are unacceptable. Enclosed herewith are replacement sheets 1 thru 4 of the drawings having Figs. 1 thru 6. The replacement sheets meet the size requirement specified in 37 CFR 1.84(f) and the margins specified in 37 CFR 1.84(g).

AMENDMENTS TO THE INVENTORSHIP

Please amend the inventorship as follows:

~~Patricia Ruzakowski Athey,~~ David E. Lecocq, George A. Neuman and Royann
L. Stewart-Davis.

REMARKS

Applicants thank Examiner Brunsman for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephone interview of April 9, 2010 at which time the need for a substitute declaration or oath was discussed. The telephone interview is further discussed below.

Claims 33-46 are in the instant application and are allowed. The Office Action requires corrected drawings (as replacement sheets) to be submitted. The corrected drawings are to have the changes required by the Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review. Enclosed herewith are replacement sheets 1 thru 4 of the drawings having Figs. 1 thru 6. The replacement sheets meet the size requirement specified in 37 CFR 1.84(f) and the margins specified in 37 CFR 18.4(g).

Applicants respectfully submit that the replacement sheets of the drawings do not add new subject matter.

Based on the forgoing, applicants respectfully request admission of the replacement sheets of the drawing and withdrawal of the Draftperson's objection to the drawings.

The Office Action indicates that a substitute oath or declaration must be submitted for the reasons stated in the EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152). For clarification of the reasons, the undersigned conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Brunsman on April 9, 2010. During the telephone interview, the undersigned mentioned that the above-identified application has continuous lineage back to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/017,930 filed February 16, 1993, now U.S. Patent No. 5,356,718 through the filings of several divisional applications and one continuation-in-part application.

The undersigned argued that a Petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) was filed in USPA 08/678,252 of which the instant application is a divisional application correcting the inventorship of USPA 08/678,252. The undersigned argued that since the correction of inventorship was made in USPA 08/678,718, and the instant application is a divisional application of USPA 08/678,252, the inventorship for the above application is correct.

The Examiner stated that the Petition filed in USPA 08/678,252 was not acted on, and the Examiner is of the opinion that the continuation-in-part

application broke the inventorship lineage to USPA 08/017,930, the first filed patent application.

Applicants disagree that the continuation-in-part application broke the inventorship lineage to USPA 08/017,930, the first filed patent application; however, in view of the fact that the Petition filed in USPA 08/678,252 was not acted on, and to eliminate this issue, applicants have amended the inventorship of the above identification, have filed even date a Petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) signed by Patricia Ruzakowski Athey requesting that she be deleted as a joint inventor; a Petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) signed by David E. Lecocq requesting that he be added as a joint inventor; a Declaration signed by David E. Lecocq, George A. Neuman and Royann L. Stewart-Davis; an Assignment signed by David E. Lecocq, George A. Neuman and Royann L. Stewart-Davis; a written consent of the Assignee PPG Industries Ohio, Inc. to correct the inventorship, and Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The undersigned was present when David E. Lecocq signed the Petition Under 37 CFR 1.48(a) to be added as an inventor, Assignment and the Declaration. The undersigned noted that the Declaration and Assignment were not dated and added the dates. The undersigned also noted the incorrect date was written on the Petition and corrected the date by changing "10" to - - 5 - -. The undersigned received authorization from David E. Lecocq on May 28, 2010 during a telephone conversation to date the Declaration and Assignment and corrected the date on the Petition.

Based on the forgoing, applicants respectfully submit that all the issues in the instant application have been eliminated, and the patent application can now issue as a patent. In the event issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Mr. Andrew Siminerio at (412) 434-4645 or the undersigned at (412) 434-2884 to discuss those issues before further action is taken in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

DONALD C. LEPIANE
Registration No. 25,996
Attorney of Record

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Donald C. Lepiane", written over a horizontal line.

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
June 1, 2010